arXiv:0801.4582v1 [hep-ph] 30 Jan 2008

Dynamical CP-Violation: A Supplement to the Kobayashi-Maskawa Matrix in the Standard Model

Tadafumi Ohsaku
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität zu Köln, 50937 Köln, Deutschland

(Dated: February 3, 2008)

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ff,12.60.-i,12.60.Rc,14.65.-q

The Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix is a great achievement in the modern particle physics [1], and it was discovered for obtaining an explanation of CP-violating processes in phenomenology. The Kobayashi-Maskawa theory investigated a phase which cannot be absorbed by a redefinition of quark fields described by the framework of the standard model. The standard model is the most important success in modern particle physics [2]. In the theories beyond the standard model, the top-condensation model is an interesting candidate for us [3,4]. The top-condensation model uses a generalized Nambu−Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model [5], a four-fermion contact interaction model, and thus it is non-renormalizable, though the theory argues that the low-energy effective theory of the top-condensation model corresponds to the standard model [4]. Hence the predictions of masses of the model have been examined by employing the renormalization group analysis which reduces the sensitivity on cutoff and model parameters. In this paper, we show another (can be a supplement) way with the Kobayashi-Maskawa theory for a CP-violation. To avoid a possible confusion of readers, we emphasize that we consider a single-flavor model. Thus our mechanism of CP-violation takes place without a flavor-violation/oscillation. (For the conservation of $U(1)$-gauge symmetry in single-flavor quark, it is favorable that our mechanism should be generalized into a multi-flavor system.)

Assumption: Quark fields have very tiny Majorana masses, in the left-right *asymmetric* manner. (Explanation of the "asymmetry" will be given later.) Usually in particle phenomenology, a super-heavy Majorana mass term is assumed for neutrino for realizing the seesaw mechanism [6-8]. Here, we consider that the Majorana masses of quarks are very tiny. The origin of the Majorana masses are set aside for a while. It might be given by a VEV of a Higgs field, generated by an interaction of an underlying theory, a compactification of strings, some effects of extra dimensions, so forth.

Proposition: Quarks will have a spontaneous (dynamical) CP-violation as a result of a dynamical generation of a Dirac mass terms under the NJL mechanism. In other words, quarks have a mechanism of dynamical chiral mass generation accompanied with a spontaneous CP-violation.

Proof: Evident from some parts of the results in Ref. [9].

Here, we explain the situation considered here by the results in Ref. [9]. We introduce the following Lagrangian for quarks:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_F - V(H,H^\dagger), \tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \xi^\dagger i\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\xi - \eta^\dagger i\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\eta - \frac{1}{2}\big(M_R\xi^\dagger i\sigma_2\xi^* - M^\dagger_R\xi^T i\sigma_2\xi\big) - \frac{1}{2}\big(M_L\eta^T i\sigma_2\eta - M^\dagger_L\eta^\dagger i\sigma_2\eta^*\big) + G\eta^\dagger\xi\xi^\dagger\eta, \tag{2}$$

$$M_R = g_R\langle H\rangle, \quad M_L = g_L\langle H\rangle. \tag{3}$$

Here, the Lagrangian is a one-flavor model. ξ and η are right- and left- handed Weyl spinors, respectively. $V(H,H^\dagger)$ is a Higgs potential, though it is not a necessity for our model that H is a Higgs field in principle (see the Assumption given above). The phases of the mass parameters M_R and M_L can be absorbed by the redefinition of the phases of ξ- and η- fields when the theory does not generate a Dirac mass term. Just the same with the case of the Nambu−Jona-Lasinio model, the four-fermion interaction can generate a Dirac mass term as follows:

$$G\eta^\dagger\xi\xi^\dagger\eta \;\to\; -m_D\xi^\dagger\eta - m^\dagger_D\eta^\dagger\xi = -m_D\bar{\psi}P_+\psi - m^\dagger_D\bar{\psi}P_-\psi, \tag{4}$$

$$P_R = \frac{1}{2}(1+\gamma_5), \quad P_L = \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5). \tag{5}$$

The final expression is given in terms of Dirac bispinors. Obviously, this Dirac mass term at $m \neq m^\dagger$ breaks the CP-symmetry, and now the phases of M_R, M_L and m_D cannot be absorbed simultaneously, if $M_R \neq M_L$ (more precisely, under the case where the phase of M_R coincides with that of M_L). The fermion sector is written down in the following matrix form:

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \frac{1}{2}\overline{\Psi_{MN}}\Omega_M\Psi_{MN}, \tag{6}$$

$$\Omega_M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} i\partial\!\!\!/ - M_R^\dagger P_+ - M_R P_- & -m_D^\dagger P_+ - m_D P_- \\ -m_D^\dagger P_+ - m_D P_- & i\partial\!\!\!/ - M_L^\dagger P_+ - M_L P_- \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

$$\Psi_{MN} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{MR} \\ \psi_{ML} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{\Psi_{MN}} = (\overline{\psi_{MR}}, \overline{\psi_{ML}}) \quad \psi_{MR} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ i\sigma_2\xi^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_{ML} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma_2\eta^* \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

Here, we have used the Majorana-Nambu notation [9,10] Ψ_{MN} defined by Majorana fields ψ_{MR} and ψ_{ML}, and of course it is equivalent with.the Dirac field expression (Dirac-Nambu notation) [10-14]. By the result of diagonalization of the matrix Ω_M, the energy-momentum relation of fermions will be obtained in the following form:

$$E_\pm(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}^2 + M_\pm^2}, \tag{9}$$

$$M_\pm = \sqrt{|m_D|^2 + \frac{|M_R|^2 + |M_L|^2}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(|M_R|^2 - |M_L|^2)^2 + 4|m_D|^2(|M_R|^2 + |M_L|^2 + 2|M_R||M_L|\cos\Theta}}, \tag{10}$$

$$\Theta \equiv \theta_R + \theta_L - 2\theta_D. \tag{11}$$

Here, the definition of phases of the mass parameters are

$$M_R = |M_R|e^{i\theta_R}, \quad M_L = |M_L|e^{i\theta_L}, \quad m_D = |m_D|e^{i\theta_D}. \tag{12}$$

The diagonalization will be achieved by several ways (for example, Ref. [13]). The energy-momentum relations $E_\pm(\boldsymbol{k})$ have the phase Θ which cannot be absorbed by a redefinition of fields ξ and η. At first glance, the energy-momentum spectra seems to have the complicated structures, though the spectra do not break the proper Lorentz symmetry $O(3,1)$. Similar spectra appear in the mass spectra of top-bottom (stop-sbottom) in the theory of (minimal supersymmetric) standard model (for example, Ref. [15]), though the situation we consider here is very different from such a model. Under our assumption, we argue that the observed quark masses can have the structures given by $M_\pm$, constructed by the very-tiny Majorana mass parameters M_R, M_L and the dynamically generated Dirac mass parameter m_D. If we regard $M_\pm$ as the observed masses, surely they must be almost degenerate (quasi-degenerate). The actual situation of quark masses would be the superposition of the chiral symmetry breaking of QCD, flavor-violation by the Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix, and the effect we consider here (of course, our model does not give a flavor violation). Our model given above can easily be generalized to have both a flavor-degree of freedom and electroweak gauge symmetry, by the similar way with the standard model (our model can be derived by a decomposition of doublets of the Yukawa term of the standard model), and the intra- and inter- flavor inteactions (inter-flavor mechanism seems more favorable due to charge conservation). An extension to a supersymmetric model is also possible by employing a similar formalism of supersymmetric BCS superconductivity [13,14]. Especially, by a more concrete set up of our model, an evaluation of scattering amplitude or a decay constant are intersting for us. If our story is true, some cosmological observations could prove it.

---

[1] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, *CP-Violation in the Renormalizable Theory of Weak Interaction*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 652 (1973).

[2] S. Weinberg, *A Model of Leptons*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1264 (1967).

[3] Y. Nambu, in *New Theories in Physics*, proceedings of the XI International Symposium on Elementary Particle Physics, Kazimierz, Poland, 1988, edited by Z. Ajduk, S. Pokorski, and A. Trautman (World Scientific, Singapole, 1989).

[4] W. A. Bardeen, C. T. Hill and M. Lindner, *Minimal Dynamical Symmetry Breaking of the Standard Model*, Phys. Rev. **D41**, 1647 (1990).

[5] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, *Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. I*, Phys. Rev. **122**, 345 (1961), *Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. II*, Phys. Rev. **124**, 246 (1961).

[6] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, *Complex Spinors and Unified Theories*, in *Supergravity* (P. Niuwenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman. eds.), (North-Holland, Amsterdam (1975)), p.315.

[7] T. Yanagida, in Proceedings of the Workshop on the Unified Theory and the Baryon Number in the Universe (O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto, eds.) (KEK, Tsukuba, Japan (1979)), p.95.

[8] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, *Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Violation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 912 (1980).

[9] T. Ohsaku, *Dynamical Dirac Mass Generation in the Supersymmetric Nambu−Jona-Lasinio Model with the Seesaw Mechanism of Neutrinos*, arXiv: 0801.1256, to be submitted.

[10] Y. Nambu, *Quasi-particles and Gauge Invariance in the Theory of Superconductivity*, Phys. Rev. **117**, 648 (1960).

[11] T. Ohsaku, *BCS and Generalized BCS Superconductivity in Relativistic Quantum Field Theory: Formulation*, Phys. Rev. **B65**, 024512 (2002).

[12] T. Ohsaku, *BCS and Generalized BCS Superconductivity in Relativistic Quantum Field Theory. II. Numerical Calculations*, Phys. Rev. **B66**, 054518 (2002).

[13] T. Ohsaku, *Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking and Superconductivity in the Supersymmetric Nambu−Jona-Lasinio Model at finite Temperature and Density*, Phys. Lett. **B634**, 285 (2006).

[14] T. Ohsaku, *Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking, Color Superconductivity, and Bose-Einstein Condensation in an* $SU(N_c) \times U(N_f)_L \times U(N_f)_R$*-invariant Supersymmetric Nambu−Jona-Lasinio Model at finite Temperature and Density*, hep-ph/0601009, submitted for publication.

[15] M. Carena, M. Quirós and C. E. M. Wagner, *Effective Potential Methods and the Higgs Mass Spectrum in the MSSM*, Nucl. Phys. **B461**, 407 (1996).